

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/038,142	10/22/2001	Ali J. Tabatabai	80398.P433	7456
8791	7590 01/10/2008		EXAMINER	
	SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & EAD PARKWAY	z ZAFMAN		
	EAD PARKWA I E, CA 94085-4040		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\mathcal{A}	V
V	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief	10/038,142	TABATABAI ET AL.	
(37 CFR 41.37)	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Greg Bengzon	2144	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	_
The Appeal Brief filed on <u>04 September 2007</u> is defecti 41.37.	ve for failure to comply v	vith one or more provisions of 37 CFR	
To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an 1205.03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from tEXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRAIN	he mailing date of this N	otification, whichever is longer.	•
The brief does not contain the items required u heading or in the proper order.	nder 37 CFR 41.37(c), o	r the items are not under the proper	
2. The brief does not contain a statement of the scanceled), or does not identify the appealed cla	tatus of all claims, (e.g., aims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1	rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected t)(iii)).	io,
3. At least one amendment has been filed subseq statement of the status of each such amendment	uent to the final rejection ent (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(i	n, and the brief does not contain a v)).	
4. (a) The brief does not contain a concise expland claims involved in the appeal, referring to the subspace of the subspace	pecification by page and is to: (1) identify, for each eparately, every means point the structure, mater reference to the specific	line number and to the drawings, if any n independent claim involved in the plus function and step plus function und ial, or acts described in the specification	ler
5. The brief does not contain a concise statement 41.37(c)(1)(vi))	of each ground of reject	ion presented for review (37 CFR	
6. The brief does not present an argument under a 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).	separate heading for ea	ch ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFI	₹
7. The brief does not contain a correct copy of the 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).	appealed claims as an	appendix thereto (37 CFR	
8. The brief does not contain copies of the eviden other evidence entered by the examiner and re statement setting forth where in the record that thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).	lied upon by appellant	in the appeal, along with a	
 The brief does not contain copies of the decision identified in the Related Appeals and Interferen 41.37(c)(1)(x)). 	ns rendered by a court of ces section of the brief a	or the Board in the proceeding s an appendix thereto (37 CFR	
10.⊠ Other (including any explanation in support of the	he above items):		

In the Appeal Brief the appellant cites the FINAL action dated Feb. 21, 2007. However, the grounds for rejection cited in the Appeal Brief are NOT correct, since they are from the Non-Final dated 09/18/2006. The grounds for rejection are completely different since the Examiner used new grounds for rejection in the Final rejection. Furthermore the Appellant arguments presented in the Appeal Brief are NOT directed towards the prior art used in the Final rejection .

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100